

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.weylo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/542,325	01/09/2006	Richard Kretz	039185-2	7825	
25570 ROBERTS M	7590 LOTKOWSKI & HOB	EXAM	EXAMINER		
P. O. BOX 100	064	MCGUTHRY BANKS, TIMA MICHELE			
MCLEAN, VA	X 22102-8064	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1793		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/26/2008	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

Dbeltran@rmhlaw.com LGallaugher@rmhlaw.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/542,325	KRETZ ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
TIMA M. MCGUTHRY-BANKS	1793	

	TIMA M. MCGUTHRY-BANKS	1793	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 11 March 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
 N he reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance FR 1.114. The reply must be filed	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
 a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date 			
 The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire le 	iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(i		FIRST REPLY WAS FIL	LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period re- under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply re-ceived by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp.	liance with 37 CER 41 37 must be t	filed within two months	of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
The proposed amendment(s) field after a final rejection, it (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	ΓE below);	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>2-5 and 11-16</u> wou canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	ld be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed am	endment
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 2-5 and 11-16. 		be entered and an ex	planation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1, 6-10</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a).
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	itry is below or attache	ad.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1793

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

13. Other: _____. /Roy King/

Regarding the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), Gesing et al was cited to show why light metal scrap could be used in further processing. Thomas et al already provides a broad teaching of "a variety of metals" including magnesium and aluminum (column 4, lines 15-25). Applicant does not define but only exemplifies (i.e. magnesium on page 5, paragraph 3) light metal. Therefore, the examiner is giving Claim 1 the broadest reasonable interpretation consistent with the specification, according to MPEP 2111.

Regarding the ASM Handbook, the examiner is not clear to which claims the applicant is referring, since the ASM Handbook is cited for three separate sets of claims. Nonetheless, the ASM Handbook establishes that hydrogen removal as a known process, irrespective of the final intent of the reference.

The provisional rejection of Claims 1, 6, 7 and 10 on the ground of nonstatutory obvious-type double patenting over co-pending applicantion 11/597,737 remain.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tima M. McGuthry-Banks whose telephone number is (571) 272-2744. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:00 am - 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on (571) 272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

TMM 28 March 2008